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ABSTRACT

The Sierra de Atapuerca, northern Spain, is known from many prehistoric and palaeontological sites documenting human prehistory in Europe. Three major sites, Gran Dolina, Galería and Sima del Elefante, range in age from the oldest hominin of Western Europe dated to 1.1 to 1.3 Ma (millions of years ago) at Sima del Elefante to c. 0.2 Ma on the top of the Galería archaeological sequence. Recently, a chronology based on luminescence methods (Thermoluminescence [TL] and Infrared Stimulated Luminescence [IRSL]) applied to cave sediments was published for the Gran Dolina and Galería sites. The authors proposed for Galería an age of 450 ka (thousands of years ago) for the units lower GIII and GII, suggesting that the human occupation there is younger than the hominid remains of Sima de los Huesos (<530 ka) around 1 km away.

In this paper, we present new results obtained by combined Electron Spin Resonance/Uranium-series (ESR/U-series) dating on 20 herbivorous teeth from different levels at the Galería site. They are in agreement with the TL results for the upper part of the stratigraphic sequence (GIV and GIIIb), in the range of between 200 and 250 ka. But for the GII to GIIb levels, the TL ages become abruptly older by 200 ka while ESR ages remain relatively constant. Finally, the TL and ESR data agree in the lowest part of the section (GII); both fall in the range of around 350–450 ka. Our results suggest a different interpretation for the GII, GIII and GIV units of Galería and the upper part of Gran Dolina (TD10 and TD11) than obtained by TL. The ESR/U-series results are supported by a Bayesian analysis, which allows a better integration between stratigraphic information and radiometric data.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The Sierra de Atapuerca, located in northern Spain (Fig. 1a), has provided an incredible amount of palaeontological and archaeological data documenting human prehistory in Europe during the last million years (Rodríguez et al., 2011). Structurally, the Sierra de Atapuerca is an overturned anticline with a NE vergency and Iberian NNW – SSE direction (Pineda and Arce, 1997). Recent investigations combining geomorphological evolution analyses of the
Figure 1. a) General geological context of the Sierra de Atapuerca (Burgos). Caption: (1) Palaeozoic; (2) Mesozoic; (3) Cenozoic; (4) Overthrust; (5) Site; (6) Cities. b) Location of main prehistorical sites in the Trinchera del Ferrocarril.
Figure 2. Stratigraphic scheme of the cave deposits at Galería Complex, with location of samples of luminescence, ESR and U-series. Caption: 1) Upper Cretaceous limestone and dolomite (wall of Galería Complex); 2) Speleothems; 3) Limestone blocks and cobbles; 4) Main stratigraphic unconformities; 5) Lateral facies variations from clay loam to gravel (on the left part) and from gravels to breccia (on the right part); 6) Cut and fill; 7) Limit of GII unit layers; 8) Rat guano level; 9) Luminescence samples (Berger et al., 2008); 10) ESR samples (Falguères, 1986; this work); 11) U/Th sample (Bischoff, Unpublished data); 12) U/Th and ESR samples (Grün and Aguirre, 1987); 13) Matuyama-Brunhes reversal (Pérez-González et al., 1999); 14) Soil; 15) Allostratigraphic units; 16) Archaeo-palaeontological levels.
Sierra de Atapuerca landscape (Benito-Calvo, 2004) and the study of the karst systems (Ortega et al., 2012) revealed a connection between the karst formation and the evolution of the nearby Arlanzón river. Electron Spin Resonance (ESR) results obtained on the alluvial terraces of this valley (Moreno et al., 2012) are consistent and reinforce the chronostratigraphic framework established previously by the combination of geomorphologic and palaeomagnetic data (Benito-Calvo et al., 2008). The Atapuerca caves consist of multilevel systems of inactive subhorizontal passages perched about 90, 70 and 60 m above the current course of the Arlanzón river (Ortega et al., 2012). The passages communicate by rooms, chimneys and pipes. Important collapses caused the appearance of wide rooms and sinks filled with allochthonous materials in which faunal remains and lithic artefacts are associated.

Three major sites were discovered when a railway trench (Trinchera del Ferrocarril) was cut at the beginning of the twentieth century, exposing the Gran Dolina, Galería and Sima del Elefante karst infillings, which document the entire Pleistocene (Fig. 1b). The oldest archaeological sequence is represented by Sima del Elefante, which has produced a number of lithic tools of Mode 1 technology associated with a fragmentary human mandible, a phalanx and fragmentary humerus, found in the lowermost levels (TE5) of the stratigraphic sequence (Bermúdez de Castro et al., 2011). This unit is of reversed magnetic polarity. Based on the faunal assemblage and cosmogenic Be/Al dates, this reversed polarity has been interpreted as the Matuyama chronon (Parés et al., 2006; Carbonell et al., 2008). The uppermost levels (TE17-TE19), exhibiting a normal polarity, could belong either to the Jaramillo subchron or to the Brunhes chron. The TE8-TE16 levels could be coeval with the lowermost units of the Gran Dolina site located at the northern part of the Trinchera. Human remains associated with primitive lithic tools (Carbonell et al., 1999a) and a rich fauna characteristic of an Early Pleistocene age (Cuena-Bescós et al., 1999) were found in a well constrained stratigraphic context at Gran Dolina (Parés and Pérez-González, 1995; Falguères et al., 1999). The fossil hominins have been proposed as a new species, Homo antecessor, a possible common ancestor of modern humans and Neanderthals (Bermúdez de Castro et al., 1997). However, some authors currently working on the origin of Homo heidelbergensis question that H. antecessor might be its ancestor (Stringer, 2012).

The Galería complex is less than 100 m from Gran Dolina (Fig. 1b). Both correspond to a cave entrance, totally filled by the intermediate level of the karst system (Ortega et al., 2012). Galería was excavated between 1979 and 1995 on a 35 square metre surface. It is a typical sediment infilling where faunal remains and lithic artefacts were discovered in a well established stratigraphic context (Pérez-González et al., 1999; Carbonell et al., 1999b). Several levels yielded a number of lithic tools of Mode 2 technology that are also present, rarely, in the uppermost levels of Gran Dolina and Sima de los Huesos (Olle et al., 2013). Sima de los Huesos, not exposed to the outside, contains the skeletal remains of at least 28 individuals in a Middle Pleistocene mud-breccia with a single Mode 2 handaxe (Carbonell et al., 2003; Bermúdez de Castro et al., 2004).

The Spanish team decided to open new excavations at Galería since 2011 and at Covacha de los Zarpazos from 2002 to 2010. In order to establish a chronological framework for Galería, we analyzed a number of undulate teeth unearthed from the fossiliferous levels by both ESR and Uranium-series (U-series) methods. We compare our new results with those obtained by luminescence at Galería and Gran Dolina (upper levels) (Berger et al., 2008) and by U-series at Sima de los Huesos (Bischoff et al., 1997, 2007).

A Bayesian analysis of the dating results provides a more constrained chronology for Galería. This approach was applied to analyze the age differences between the two dating methods on GIII and GIa levels.

**Stratigraphy**

The Galería complex is cut longitudinally by the Trinchera. It contains three sub-sections: Tres Simas on the southern part, Galería, which is the main horizontal conduit, and Covacha de los Zarpazos, located in the northern part. These sections likely represent a huge room supplied by a common conduit (Ortega, 2009).

Five infilling phases form the stratigraphic sequence of Galería (Pérez-González et al., 1995) named from the bottom to the top, GI to GV units (Fig. 2).

Unit GI constitutes a 5 m thick endokarstic sedimentary facies that is archaeologically sterile. In this unit is observed a reversed magnetostratigraphic polarity attributed to the Matuyama/Brunhes boundary, suggesting a great antiquity for these levels (Pérez-González et al., 1999).

In the uppermost stratigraphic units (GII to GV), a rich assemblage of lithic artefacts associated with faunal remains were found. Unit GII is the first unit constituted by allochthonous sediments and was deposited with an erosive and angular unconformity on GI. GIi consists of gravely clastic facies containing fallen blocks and is enveloped in a silty-clayey matrix. The sediments are allochthonous. The base of the unit contains a guano deposit interstratified with black clays. This guano level reaches 20–30 cm thick in the southern and central part of the infilling. This phenomenon led to post-depositional phosphated aluminium hydioxide, which altered the bones. In situ lixiviation, attested by weathering rings in lime- and depleted calcitic sands and silt, suggest bone destruction too (Vallverdu, 1999). In 1976, a human mandibular fragment was found during the first excavations of Galería. Though its exact stratigraphic location was unknown, it was attributed to Unit GII (Rosas and Bermúdez de Castro, 1999).

Unit GIII lies also in angular unconformity on GI. GIII is constituted only of clastic sediments with a heterogeneous size distribution in which lateral facies variations can be observed. Towards the northern part of the sequence, gravity deposits are interstratified with well organized facies deposited by flood water. GIII has been sub-divided into GIlla and GIlb according to the sedimentation texture and archaeological records. A cranial human fragment, discovered at the entrance of the Zarpazos, was stratigraphically attributed to the base of GIII unit (Arsuaga et al., 1999).

Unit GV is similar to the GIII with a thickness of between 1.5 and 2 m.

Unit GV corresponds to a vertical infilling reaching a thickness of 5 m and represents the last clogging of the cavity (Pérez-González et al., 2001).

**Previous radiometric results**

Because of the importance of the recent discoveries and of the richness of the Atapuerca archaeological sequences, a number of

---

**Table 1**

Non-destructive gamma-ray spectrometric dating of AT76 mandible from Galería, Atapuerca, Spain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AT76 mandible</th>
<th>Mass (g)</th>
<th>U content (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$</th>
<th>$^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$</th>
<th>$^{238}\text{U}/^{232}\text{Th}$</th>
<th>U–Th Age (ka)</th>
<th>U–Pa Age (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>54 ± 3</td>
<td>1.704 ± 0.215</td>
<td>0.569 ± 0.077</td>
<td>0.952 ± 0.030</td>
<td>37 ± 4</td>
<td>144 ± 46/–23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Figure 3. Main geochronological guidemarks of the three infilling of Trinchera before this work. A palaeomagnetic reversal attributed to Matuyama-Brunhes boundary is observed in the three sequences (Dolina: Parés and Pérez-González, 1995; Galería: Pérez-González et al., 1999; Elefante: Parés et al., 2006). Cosmogenic burial dates of 1.22 Ma are provided for the human remains in the TE9 layer of Elefante (Carbonell et al., 2008) and could be coeval with the basis of Dolina layers dated by ESR-OB to about 1.10–1.30 Ma (Moreno et al., in prep.). For Dolina, the other dates are published in Berger et al. (2008) for TL/IRSL data and in Falguères et al. (1999) for ESR-US data on teeth.
dating methods have been applied. Although the chronological framework of Sima del Elefante and Gran Dolina is primarily based on biostratigraphy and palaeomagnetism, some radiometric data have reinforced the chronostratigraphy. At Sima del Elefante, cosmogenic nuclides provided an age ranging between 1.3 and 1.1 Ma (millions of years ago) for the TE9 layer in which a hominid mandibular fragment was found in 2007 (Carbonell et al., 2008). This result is in agreement with palaeomagnetic data suggesting that the Matuyama/Brunhes boundary is recorded in upper levels, TE16.

In 2008, a number of luminescence analyses performed on the Galería and Gran Dolina stratigraphical sequences were published by Berger et al. (2008). The results based on Infra-Red Stimulated Luminescence (IRSL) and on Thermoluminescence (TL) analyses contrasted with earlier data obtained at Gran Dolina, particularly with the ESR/U-series dates on teeth and palaeomagnetism (Falguères et al., 1999; Parés and Pérez-González, 1999). The luminescence results will be discussed further and compared with the ages obtained in this study.

A direct, non-destructive gamma-ray analysis on the human mandible fragment (AT76) from unit GIII yielded ages of 85 ± 19/16 ka (thousands of years ago) and 144 ± 46/23 ka by U/Th and U/Pa, respectively (Yokoyama, Unpublished data). This is in contradiction with an age older than 250 ka based on stratigraphic correlation (Rosas and Bermúdez de Castro, 1999). The inconsistent U/Th and U/Pa results indicate a post-depositional uranium uptake into the mandible resulting in apparent age underestimations (Table 1).

Speleothems were also dated in Galería. The upper stalagmitic floor, which covers the GIV unit, yielded alpha spectrometry U-series dates with a mean age of 166 ± 25 ka (Bischoff, Unpublished data) in agreement with another U/Th date of 177 ± 23 ka by Grün and Aguirre (1987). Three calcite samples were dated by ESR methods (Falguères, 1986): one from the same level provided an age of 212 ± 32 ka; another from the upper part of the infilling of Covacha de los Zarpazos, yielded an age of 222 ± 31 ka. These analyses indicated that the GV unit was deposited before the end of MIS7. A third ESR sample from the upper part of stalagmitic floor located in Tres Simas (TS-S), in the southern part of Galería section, yielded an age of 256 ± 33 ka. All of these calcitic samples contain very low uranium content, less than 0.1 ppm.

Fig. 3 shows the three composite sections of Sima del Elefante, Gran Dolina and Galería including the main geochronological results.

Material and methods

The combined ESR/U-series (ESR-US) model takes into account both ESR and U-series data, and including radioelement contents, isotopic ratios, palaeodoses and external gamma-dose rate, allows the reconstruction of the uranium uptake history in each dental tissue using a specific U-uptake parameter (p-value) (Grün et al., 1988). The model cannot account for uranium loss. The relevance of the method was discussed in Grün (2009a). The application of this combined approach allows the dating of the whole Middle Pleistocene period (Bahain et al., 2007; Falguères et al., 2010).

Sample selection and preparation

Twenty herbivorous teeth from the GII and GIII units (Fig. 2) were selected and prepared in the laboratory for analyses. Enamel, dentine and cementum were separated mechanically and their radioisotope contents measured by TIMS for enamel (Geological Survey, Menlo Park, USA) and alpha-ray spectrometry for dentine and cementum (MNHN, Paris), using standard methods (Bischoff et al., 1988), and gamma-ray spectrometry (Yokoyama and Nguyen, 1980).
A part of the enamel, after cleaning of its surface on both sides (inner and outer sides) to eliminate the effect of external alpha radiation, was ground, sieved and the 100−200 μm fraction split into ten aliquots. Nine of the ten were irradiated with a calibrated 60Co gamma-ray source from 200 to 8000 Gy.

Measurements

ESR measurements were performed at room temperature on an EMX-6 Bruker spectrometer (X band, 9.82 GHz) with a microwave power of 10 mW and a modulation amplitude of 0.1 mT. A scan range of 10 mT and a scan time of 4 min with a modulation frequency of 100 kHz was used for each spectrum. Each ESR measurement was repeated three times for each dose. The equivalent doses (DE) were determined from the asymmetric enamel T1−B2 signal at g = 2.0018 (Grün et al., 2008) by fitting a single exponential function (SSE) (Yokoyama et al., 1985). ESR age calculations were carried out with the ESR-DATA program of Grün (2009b), which uses an alpha efficiency of 0.13 ± 0.02 (Grün and Katzenberger-Apel, 1994) and Monte-Carlo beta attenuation

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>U/238U</th>
<th>Th/232U</th>
<th>Rn/226Th</th>
<th>T enamel (μm)</th>
<th>Removed enamel (μm)</th>
<th>DE (Gy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GIIb</td>
<td>Equus (P3/4)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.510</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>80; 40</td>
<td>481.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9811</td>
<td>Equus (deciduous incisor)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>50; 150</td>
<td>525.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9803</td>
<td>Equus (molar)</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>240; 140</td>
<td>487.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9801</td>
<td>Equus (molar)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>190; 180</td>
<td>506.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9807</td>
<td>Equus (P3/4)</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>1.390</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>110; 240</td>
<td>494.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9804</td>
<td>Equus (P2)</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>230; 220</td>
<td>494.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIIa</td>
<td>Equus (molar)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.290</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>60; 40</td>
<td>604.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9803</td>
<td>Equus (P3/4)</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>3122</td>
<td>1683; 2023</td>
<td>585.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9801</td>
<td>Equus (P2)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.519</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>56; 20</td>
<td>648.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIIb</td>
<td>Equus (molar)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>10050</td>
<td>70; 70</td>
<td>585.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9806</td>
<td>Equus (incisor)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.340</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>5900</td>
<td>120; 160</td>
<td>463.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9805</td>
<td>Equus (incisor)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.330</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>110; 240</td>
<td>494.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9802</td>
<td>Equus (molar)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.519</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>10050</td>
<td>70; 70</td>
<td>585.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9801</td>
<td>Equus (molar)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>10050</td>
<td>70; 70</td>
<td>585.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZ0206</td>
<td>Equus (P3 deciduous)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>122; 36</td>
<td>349.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9802</td>
<td>Equus (molar)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.340</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>241; 200</td>
<td>554.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG2001</td>
<td>Equus (molar)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>1.221</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>96; 73</td>
<td>483.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZ0202</td>
<td>Bovid (molar)</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.530</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>70; 70</td>
<td>585.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9806</td>
<td>Equus (deciduous incisor)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>63; 149</td>
<td>824.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG9804</td>
<td>Equus (molar)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>122; 36</td>
<td>349.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG2002</td>
<td>Equus (P3)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>1.358</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>241; 200</td>
<td>554.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZ0202</td>
<td>Bovid (molar)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>1.221</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>96; 73</td>
<td>483.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E = enamel, D = dentine, C = cementum. Uncertainties for isotopic ratios are given with ±1 sigma.

The initial (T) and removed enamel thickness is used for the age calculation.

The results written in italics underline a geochemical problem, which could be due to a possible uranium leaching.

The U-series for enamel were done by TIMS in the USGS lab while the dentine and cementum U-series were performed in Paris Lab using alpha-ray spectrometry.

The first number corresponds to the enamel subtracted in the dentin-enamel side; the second number to the cementum (sediment)-enamel side.
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versus (230Th/238U) activity ratio diagram for each dental tissue from Galería samples. All the samples are in the domain of reliability (Zone 1), close to the equiline, indicating thus a U-uptake process, which is not recent (i.e., p parameter which tend towards −1), except two of them that are located in the complex area (Zone 2).

Factors (Marsh, 1999) based on the thickness of the tooth enamel and outer layers removed. In addition, the following parameters were used:

1) The water content was estimated to be 3 wt% in the enamel, 5 to 10 wt% in the dentine and in the cementum, and 12 to 20 wt% in the sediment.

Table 2 exhibits the isotopic data, initial and removed enamel thickness and $D_E$ for the samples. The U content ranges between 0.3 and 6.0 ppm in the enamel and between 13 and 51 ppm in dentine and cementum tissues. Fig. 5 shows that isotopic ratios are extremely homogeneous and appear in the domain of reliability (Zone 1). Only two samples indicate obvious uranium leaching, which is documented by the fact that their $^{230}$Th/$^{238}$U ratio is greater than their $^{234}$U/$^{238}$U ratio (Zone 2 in Fig. 5) (see details in Osmond and Ivanovich, 1992; Chabaux et al., 2003).

**Results and discussion**

Table 2 provides the details about the dose rate, p-values and ages for the analyzed teeth. In spite of the heterogeneity in the analytical results, the obtained ESR-US ages are remarkably homogeneous for GIIb, GIIa and Glb sub-levels, ranging between 220 and 280 ka.

For GIIa, the ages do not increase in the same way as the depth except for two samples (T G0202 and T G0206) providing dates of approximately 350 ka marking out the basis of the archaeological sediments of the Galería infilling.

The results on TG8 and TN2B samples are obviously too young without exhibiting more ‘recent’ uptake than the other samples.

2) Gamma-ray spectrometry was used to determine the sediment radioisotopes U, Th and K where the teeth were collected. The dose rate was calculated according to Adamiec and Aitken (1998). In addition, TL dosimeters were inserted in the layers and left in situ for nearly one year (Fig. 4).

3) The effect of Ra and Rn loss in each tissue was determined by combining alpha-ray and gamma-ray measurements (Bahain et al., 1992).

**ESR/US ages**

Table 3 provides the details about the dose rate, p-values and ages for the analyzed teeth. In spite of the heterogeneity in the analytical results, the obtained ESR-US ages are remarkably homogeneous for GIIb, GIIa and Glb sub-levels, ranging between 220 and 280 ka.

For GIIa, the ages do not increase in the same way as the depth except for two samples (TG0202 and TG0206) providing dates of approximately 350 ka marking out the basis of the archaeological sediments of the Galería infilling.

The results on TG8 and TN2B samples are obviously too young without exhibiting more ‘recent’ uptake than the other samples.

![Figure 5. Theoretical evolution of the $^{234}$U/$^{238}$U/$^{230}$Th disequilibria in the $^{234}$U/$^{238}$U activity ratio diagram for each dental tissue from Galería samples. All the samples are in the domain of reliability (Zone 1), close to the equiline, indicating thus a U-uptake process, which is not recent (i.e., p parameter which tend towards −1), except two of them that are located in the complex area (Zone 2).](image-url)
(p-values range between 0 and −1). This suggests a complex geochemical history of these samples. TG0202 has an enamel p-value limited to −1 (when we take into account the positive error) and also exhibits a $^{230}\text{Th}^{234}\text{U}$ ratio of 1.2, suggesting uranium leaching and the proposed age corresponds to a minimum age. TG0204 has a large error range on the isotopic ratios. The lower $D_E$ values suggest also that the leaching occurred early after burial.

The dose rate measurements are difficult for the TG0201 to TG0204 samples and possibly can be overestimated, rendering the ages younger than the actual ages.

**Biostratigraphy**

The Carnivora found in the GII-GIII units are similar to many European Middle Pleistocene sites but lacks hyaenas like those found in TD8. Moreover, the absence of *Panthera gombaszoegensis* suggests that the layers are younger than TD8 (García et al., 1997; García, 2003).

The rodents of GII-GIII and their evolutionary stage suggest a good correlation with other Spanish Middle Pleistocene sites such as Áridos I, Ambrona and maybe a little bit younger than Arago. Remains of *Hystrix*, associated with Mediterranean species such as *iberomys brecciensis* and *Arvicola*, and *Pliomys lenki*, suggest a temperate-warm climate, typical of an interglacial period (Cuenca-Bescós et al., 1999). Recently, faunal units or biozones (FU) have been proposed to classify the faunal successions and microvertebrates recorded in six karstic cavities of the Sierra de Atapuerca (Cuenca-Bescós et al., 2010). The GII and GIII units were placed in FU 6, a biozone defined by the appearance of *iberomys brecciensis* and *Terricola atapuerquensis* and indicating an age between 0.78 and 0.10 Ma. At Atapuerca, the first appearance of *Arvicola* was observed at the bottom of TD10, which can be correlated with European Middle Acheulian sites such as Orgnac 3 and Aides.

**Comparison with TL ages**

Fig. 6 shows the earlier luminescence dates along with ESR-US ages of the present study. There is a good agreement from GIV to the base of GIIb.

For the lower part of GII unit (GIIa), a sharp discrepancy appears in which the TL dates are 200 ka older than the ESR dates.

---

**Figure 6.** Radiometric ages of Galería section obtained by different dating methods. The sample TSY12 was taken in the southern part of Galería Complex called Tres Simas (TS-S), which can be attributed to a level equivalent to the lower part of GIIb.
Figure 7. ESR-US dates on teeth organized by units deduced from the stratigraphic context. No constraint is applied on the probability densities. TG0201 and TG0204 outlier samples are excluded from the analysis (16 samples).
Figure 8. Bayesian modelling of the ESR-US dates on teeth. Probability densities are sequenced according to the stratigraphic context (OxCal4.1). A posteriori densities (deduced from the modelling) are shown in darker shade; the likelihoods (distribution laws deduced from the measurements, without any modelling) in lighter shade. This model is accepted with an agreement of 94 (Amodel = 94): ESR-US dates and stratigraphic context concur.

Please cite this article in press as: Falguères, C., et al., Combined ESR/U-series chronology of Acheulian hominin-bearing layers at Trinchera Galería site, Atapuerca, Spain, Journal of Human Evolution (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2013.05.005
There is no significant evidence of a stratigraphic discontinuity at this level. An initial explanation could be the fact that the TL dates, which have been determined from clayey sediment, are younger (TL9724) than those performed in the gravel matrix referred to as ‘paella’ (TL9815, TL9719). This phenomenon could be due to a difficulty in bleaching the TL-IRSL traps when the sediment includes more clay. The central part of the infilling is characterized by clastic clayey sediments coming from the Covacha de los Zarpazos area, which indicates that these sediments are composed of various deposits in the time and the space, thus implying a large variation of dose rate in a little space.

The TG8 level, appearing between the TG7 and TG9 layers, is not continuous throughout Galería. It consists of a thin clastic layer in which the surface area has been altered by a bat guano deposit. This organic layer has probably contributed to render the ESR-US ages younger than the true ages and provoking a complex post burial uranium uptake for teeth directly in contact with that layer. Small calcitic floors form at the base of TG8 and one of them yielded a U-series age older than 350 ka.

Bayesian modelling

In order to construct the chronological framework of the Galería site, several types of information are available, in particular stratigraphic context and radiometric dates. As usual, the stratigraphic context has been determined before performing different radiometric studies (ESR-US on teeth, TL and IRSL on sediments, U-series and ESR on calcite) on samples on which the stratigraphic location was well identified. Besides, radiometric measurements are defined by age distribution laws in an independent time-scale, to which is associated an uncertainty that can be more or less large. Because stratigraphic context on the one hand and radiometric data on the other hand derive from different and independent methods, we had to find a method to deal with the entire collection of data. As stratigraphic context was established before radiometric measurements, this information can be interpreted as a priori information to the radiometric measurements. By giving the terminology of a priori to this stratigraphic context, and likelihood to the radiometric measurements, we describe a Bayesian scheme; thus, both stratigraphy and radiometric dates can be combined to build a unique statistical model, using a Bayesian approach. This probabilistic approach allows the definition of a posteriori distributions combining stratigraphic context with radiometric information.

Consequently, in the following models, the stratigraphic information deduced from the archaeological excavations has been integrated as a priori to the radiometric probability densities, used as likelihood distributions, in order to determine a posteriori laws.
Figure 10. Chronological model for the Galería Stratigraphical Sequence. A posteriori densities (deduced from the modelling) are shown in darker shade; the likelihoods (distribution laws deduced from the measurements, without any modelling) in lighter shade. This model is not validated ($A_{\text{model}} = 7$). TL-98-15 and TL-97-19 dates are identified as outliers. The model was re-run without these two OSL dates and it is validated ($A_{\text{model}} = 83$): radiometric data are consistent stratigraphical units. U-Th on calcite dates are in green, ESR on calcite dates in violet, U-Th by ESR on calcite in blue, TL/IRSL in yellow and ESR-US on teeth in black. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
incorporating all of the chronostratigraphical information that is available (Bronk Ramsey, 2001, 2009). Two units of priors have been used:

1) Prior Phase, which stands for an archaeological event without any chronological order between the dates it contains;
2) Prior Sequence, which sets a chronological order between the different events it contains (the events could be different dates or phases).

The following Bayesian models have been performed using OxCal 4.1.7 software. A posteriori laws have been validated using agreement and convergence factors following the terminology proposed in Bronk Ramsey (2009).

In this paragraph, the terminology of Phase and Sequence are used as explained above. According to the established stratigraphic context, a first Bayesian model using the latest ESR-US dates has been built. Dates have been incorporated in four different statistical phases called ‘Phase GIIa’, ‘Phase GIIb’, ‘Phase GIIa’, ‘Phase GIIb’,

Figure 10. (continued).
and then GIII phases have been sub-divided following the stratigraphic units (Fig. 7). These phases were then sequenced, postulating the chronological succession: ‘Phase GIIa’ older than ‘Phase GIIb’ older than ‘Phase GIIIa’ older than ‘Phase GIIIb’ (Fig. 8). The 16 validated ESR-US dates are homogeneous from GIIa to GIIIb and range continuously from 450 to 170 ka without any statistical gap. The chronological sequence is accepted with an agreement of 94 (Amodel = 94), which confirms that the ESR-US dates and stratigraphic context concur.

Luminescence dates from the Galería stratigraphic sequence (Berger et al., 2008) are reported by integrating them in five phases (‘Phase Base GI’, ‘Phase GIIa’, Phase GIIb’, ‘Phase GIIIa’, ‘Phase GIV’). Fig. 9 shows that the chronological frame is consistent. Nonetheless, we observe that dates move abruptly from around 400 to 250 ka between ‘Phase GIIa’ and ‘Phase GIIb’. We then sequenced the previous model and we obtained an a posteriori model validated with an agreement of 77 (Amodel = 77, Fig. 9).

Therefore, such a chronostratigraphy is statistically possible according to 1) the stratigraphic context, and 2) the TL physical measurements and in spite of the gap between ‘Phase GIIa’ and ‘Phase GIIb’. This can be explained by the large uncertainty on these TL dates (between 10 and 19% for TL dates whereas uncertainty on ESR-US dates extends from 5 to 13% with one sigma error range).

Finally, we incremented the model by integrating ESR-US on teeth, U/Th and ESR on calcite and luminescence dates on sediments in the different phases, according to the stratigraphic units. AT-B in ‘Phase GI’ and 93-1 samples exhibit an isotopic equilibrium and suggest a great antiquity but are not representative in terms of age and should not be taken into account in the model. Fig. 10 shows that the radiometric data are not consistent since the Amodel = 7 and two TL dates are clearly rejected (TL97-19 and TL98-15, with an Ai = 8 and 0). The model has been re-run without these two oldest TL dates of ‘Phase GIIa’; the deduced model is validated (Amodel = 83) and shows that the Galería stratigraphical sequence and the radiometric dates (ESR-US, TL, U/Th) statistically concur.

Conclusions

The present ESR dates agree with the earlier luminescence dates and the other radiometric data for the upper part of the stratigraphic sequence (GIV and GIIIb). The ages obtained on teeth are homogeneous on GIII and GIIb units and range between 200 and 300 ka while TL ages change abruptly from 200 to 400 ka on the top of GIIa.

In GIIa, two groups of ESR-US ages are observed. The first is in agreement with the TL ages ranging between 350 and 450 ka. The second group yields ages too young for TG8 and TN2B, which could be due to the presence of a bat guano layer in contact with these samples. This level could have played a role in the uranium mobility after burial though the p-values do not exhibit a post-burial uranium uptake responsible of the young ages. This outlines a complex geochemical history of these samples, which likely underwent first a uranium leaching followed by a recent uranium uptake.

If we consider that the first group yields reasonable ages, this would place the GIIa unit as contemporaneous with TD10 at Gran Dolina for which new ESR data on quartz yield a mean age of about
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